

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/501,708	07/16/2004	Konrad Roeingh	HM-594PCT 5110		
7:	590 09/14/2005		EXAMINER		
FRIEDRICH KUEFFNER			PATEL, VISHAL A		
317 MADISON SUITE 910	N AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10017		3673		
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comment		1,708	ROEINGH ET AL.	
Office Action Summar	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
	Vishal		3679	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IE MAILING DATE OF isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. urn statutory period will apply ar reply will, by statute, cause the inths after the mailing date of thi	THIS COMMUNICATION be event, however, may a reply be tin and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condiction closed in accordance with the p</li> </ol>	2b)☐ This action i tion for allowance exc	s non-final. ept for formal matters, pro		ts is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s)  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to respect to the specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to 10.	is/are withdrawn from o. estriction and/or election by the Examiner. d'are: a)☐ accepted or	n requirement. · b)□ objected to by the l		
Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is object	iding the correction is red	uired if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
	ou to by the Examiner.	Tiolo dio allacrica Office	7.0000 01 101111 F 1 O- 192	<b>-</b> .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a cl a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copies of the Interror * See the attached detailed Office a	of: prity documents have b prity documents have b pries of the priority docu pational Bureau (PCT F	neen received. Deen received in Application Deen received in Application Deen received Deen received	on No ed in this National Stage	ı
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revies Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ew (PTO-948) 9 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)	

Ke

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 11, at least one outwardly extending lip", is this the same lip as mentioned in lines 5-6?

Claim 8, line 13, at least one outwardly extending lip", is this the same lip as mentioned in line 8?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Slater, Jr. (US. 4,099,731).

Slater discloses rolling device with at least one roll (14) rotatably supported in a stand and with at least one sealing device that seals the roll against a stationary area (stationary area having surfaces 50 and 52) of the rolling device. The seal device comprising at least one annular body (seal 38) supported on a roll neck (neck 16) and encompasses the roll neck (the seal

Application/Control Number: 10/501,708 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

extending lip (lips 104b and 106b) that rests against and seals a stationary part (part having surfaces 50 and 52) during rotation of the roll. The annular body comprises at least two parts (38a and 38b). The first part rests against a part of the roll (part of 38a that rests against the roll 14 having the roll neck 16) and the second part comprises the at least one outwardly extending lip and the second part is held in a pocket of the first part (the second part is held in a pocket of the first part). The second part encompasses at least one lip directed axially in the direction of the barrel of the roll and one lip directed axially in the opposite direction (the lips 104b and 106b are in opposite direction).

The first part and the second part have different moduli of elasticity (since the material of the two parts are different it would have different moduli, column 5, lines 50-54). The first part and the second part have different surface hardness values (this is also true because they are formed of two different material). The first and the second part consist of different material (column 5, lines 50-54). The lip or lips consist of an elastic rubber material.

The limitations the second part is in a recess of the first part (as seen in figure 1, the second part 38b is in a recess of the first part 38a).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slater in view of Slater Jr. (US. 4,022,480, now referred to as Slater '480).

Slater discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that the lip or lips are spring supported. Slater '480 discloses a roll neck having a sealing device that has lips (lips of figure 1 which do not have spring support) and lips (lips showed in figure 4 that have spring supports 110). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the lips of Slater to have spring supports as taught by Slater '480, to provide additional means for urging (column 5, line 64-column 6, line 5 of Slater '480).

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 7/5/05 and 8/15/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argument that the reference of Slater was cited in the PCT application and the reference was over come is not persuasive because PCT rules are different than the US patent and trademark rules.

Applicants' argument that the added limitation pocket to the claim overcomes the reference of Slater is not persuasive because Slater teaches a first part to have a cavity that receives a second part into the first part.

Applicants' argument that due to the pocket that receives the second part no additional retaining means (adhesive or screws) are necessary is not persuasive because this is not claimed by the applicant.

Applicants' argument against Slater '480 does not teach a pocket is not persuasive because this reference is used only to teach that the sealing lips of the first part are biased by spring members.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7060. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am to 8:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 571-272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,708

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP

September 12, 2005

Vishal Patel

Patent Examiner
Tech. Center 3600

Page 6